

SYNERGISTIC INTERACTION BETWEEN ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI, RHIZOBIUM AND PHOSPHATE SOLUBILISING BACTERIA ON VIGNA UNGUICULATA (L) VERDC.

Romana M Mirdhe* and HC Lakshman

P.G. Department of Studies in Botany, Microbiology laboratory, Karnatak University, Dharwad- 580 003, India

Received for publication: February 23, 2014; Accepted: April 15, 2014

Abstract Green house pot experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) Fungi (*Funneliformis mosseae*) along with the dual inoculation of AM fungi (*Funneliformis mosseae*) with Rhizobium, Phosphate solubilising bacteria (PSB) and a triple inoculation of AM Fungi (*Funneliformis mosseae*), Rhizobium, and PSB in Vigna unguiculata (L) Verdc. Growth parameters such as plant height, dry weight of root and shoot, spore number, per cent root colonization, number of nodules was recorded and P and N uptake were estimated at the intervals of 15, 30 and 45 days. Results revealed that inoculation of AM Fungi (*Funneliformis mosseae*) + Rhizobium+ PSB showed an increase in all the growth parameters when compared with dual inoculation. The combined inoculation of bacteria and AM fungi evidence provide that these two organisms are synergistically involved in the beneficial effects of Vigna unguiculata (L) Verdc.

Keywords: Vigna unguiculata, Funneliformis mosseae, phosphate solubilising bacteria, growth parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) is one of the most efficient bioinoculant in improving growth and N content in legumes. Legumes play a fundamental role in natural ecosystems (Jeffries and Barea, 2001). Legumes have a higher P requirement for nodule formation, nitrogen fixation and optimum growth. Mycorrhizal condition of legume crops found to increase its vegetation in addition to improve nodulation. However, legumes grow rapidly but the success of these species will depend on their ability to symbiotically fix nitrogen content of the plant along with the dual inoculation of AM fungi. Nitrogen is a non-metallic element needed for formation of amino acids, purines and pyrimidines, and thus indirectly involved in protein and nucleic acid synthesis. It is also a part of porphyrins and many coenzymes of the plant system. Soil microorganisms and their activities play important roles in transformation of plant nutrients from unavailable to available forms and also have many metabolic qualities related to soil fertility improvement. Mycorrhiza benefits the host through mobilization of phosphorus from non-labile sources, whereas rhizobia fixes N₂ (Scheublin and Vander Heijden, 2006). Biofertilizer have recently gained with momentum for effecting the sustainable increase in crop yield under various agroclimatic conditions

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are significant plant-growth-promoting organisms, as they not only improve the nutritional status of their hosts but also protect these hosts from pathogens and allow the hosts to survive under adverse conditions (Aruna and Lakshman, 2007; Shwetha *et al.*, 2013). Though the use

*Corresponding Author:

Romana M Mirdhe, P.G. Department of Studies in Botany, Microbiology laboratory, Karnatak University, Dharwad- 580 003, India. of biofertilizers optimizes the yield, the aim of this study was to determine the role played by bacteria associated with AM fungi in the interaction of AM fungi with its plant hosts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was arranged in completely randomized block design with three replication of each treatment. AM fungal spores of Funneliformis mosseae (T.H. Nicolson & Gerd.) C. Walker & A. Schüßler comb. nov. were maintained in a greenhouse using Jowar (Sorghum vulgare L.) as host for mass multiplication in 30-cm diameter pots containing sterilized sand-soil mix (1:1 v/v) and were used as inoculum. The biofertilizers Phosphate solubilising bacteria (PSB) and Rhizobium were collected from the microbiology laboratory, UAS, Dharwad, India. Rhizobium inoculation was done by treating seeds with a peat based culture before sowing. 3 ml of culture suspension culture of PSB was inoculated and the treatments were as follows a. Control b. AMF + Rhizobium c. AMF+PSB d. AMF+Rhizobium+PSB. A non-inoculated control was maintained. The plants were exposed to sunlight and were kept free of weeds and irrigated properly. The plants were harvested after 15, 30 and 45 days. The percentage of AM fungal colonization was evaluated microscopically followed by clearing of roots in 10% KOH, neutralized in 2% HCL and stained with 0.05% tryphan blue in lactophenol according to the method described by (Phillips and Hayman, 1970) and root colonization was calculated by the formula mentioned below



Number of colonized segments

% of Root colonization = _____× 100 Total number of segments examined

The growth parameters like plant height, dry weight of shoot and root, number of nodules, spore number, per cent root colonization, P and N uptake was determined. AM fungal spores were counted in 50g of soil by wet sieving and decanting method (Gerdmann and Nicholson, 1963). The phosphorous content in the shoots in terms of percentage was determined according to Vandomolybolate phosphoric yellow colour method (Jackson, 1973). Total nitrogen content was determined by the Microkjeldahl method (Bremmer, 1960).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The inoculation of AM fungi (Funneliformis mosseae) with PSB and rhizobium on growth parameters increased significantly over the uinoculated- control plants. After 15 days, plants inoculated with AMF+PSB+Rhizobium resulted in higher plant height (39.3 cms), dry weight of shoot (0.34 g) and root (0.26 g), spore number (61.0), number of nodules (38.3), per cent root colonization (64.9) compared to plants inoculated with dual inoculations of AMF+Rhizobium, AMF+PSB.

The phosphorous and nitrogen content was also recorded higher in plants inoculated with AMF+PSB+Rhizobium than the other treatments. In dual inoculation spore number, percentage of root colonization, number of nodules and P uptake was found to superior in the plants inoculated with AMF+Rhizobium, than AMF+PSB. After 45 days, the plant growth responded in the similar trend that is the triple inoculation of AMF+PSB+Rhizobium resulted in the highest plant height (66.7 cms), dry weight of shoot (0.55 g) and root (0.45 g), per cent root colonization (81.6 %), Spore number (85.3), no. of nodules (50.6) (Table 1), P (0.41%) and N (0.28%) uptake (Figures 2 and 3). All rhizobacterial+AM treatments showed a significant increase in shoot dry weight compared to dual inoculations after 45. This significant increase can be attributed to the positive interaction between rhizobacterial inoculants and AM fungus. Plant growth, shoot P concentration, and root colonization were evaluated colonized or not by several AM fungal species. Combined inoculation of PSB and Rhizobium sp. produces a positive response significantly increasing nodulation (Parmar and Dufresne, 2011).

The study showed that the degree to which each of these species was affected by mycorrhizal

colonization varied with the host and the colonizing AM species (Burleigh *et al.*, 2002).

Figure 1. Effect of AM fungi and other microorganisms in *Vigna unguiculata* (L) Verdc.



1.	Control

- 2. AM fungi
- 3. AM fungi+PSB
- 4. AM fungi+Rhizobium
- 5. AM fungi+ Rhizobium + PSB

Figure 2: Showing P Uptake in Vigna unguiculata (L) Verdc.



1. Control 2. AM fungi 3. AM fungi+Rhizobium 4. AM fungi+PSB 5.AM fungi+ *Rhizobium*+ PSB

Figure 3: Showing N uptake in Vigna unguiculata (L) Verdc.



1. Control 2. AM fungi 3. AM fungi+Rhizobium 4. AM fungi+PSB 5. AM fungi+ Rhizobium+ PSB

Nodule number and biomass has been shown to increase significantly in several studies due to coinoculation of both microsymbionts (Saxena et al., 1997; Zhao et al., 1997). Like all symbiotic parameters. yield of legumes co-inoculated with AM and rhizobia has been reported to increase significantly when compared to un inoculated or inoculated with either microsymbiont (Corbera and Hernandez, 1997). Legumes, plant species of great agronomical and ecological interest, are able to establish beneficial symbiotic relationships with two types of soil-borne microorganisms: N₂-fixing bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi. Like most of the major plant families, legume plants also form associations with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi (Barea et al., 2004). Data with these literature support that in the light of present finding, have clearly demonstrated that when legumes symbiose with both rhizobia, AM-fungi and other beneficial microorganisms, plant growth, yield, and nitrogen nutrition are generally much greater than plants inoculated either with rhizobia or AM fungi alone or PSB alone (Antunes and Goss 2005). Coinoculation of AM fungi with other beneficial microorganisms can provide plants with a more balanced nutrition and improved absorption of nitrogen, phosphorus and other nutrients, and improve plant growth and yield compared to single inoculation (Lakshman, 2011). These findings are in agreement with

that of Aysan and Demir (2009), Askar and Rashad (2010) and Xiurong *et al.*, (2011). It is well known that AM fungi can improve the nutrient status of their host plants (Smith and Read, 2008). It is also thought that the plant–*rhizobium* system benefits from the presence of AM fungi because the mycorrhizae ameliorate not only P deficiency but also any other nutrient deficiencies that might be limiting to *rhizobium*.

CONCLUSION

Most of the interaction studies between AM fungi and beneficial microorganisms suggest a synergistic effect on growth and yield of plants. The present study have clearly shown that the combined microorganisms application of beneficial like AMF+PSB+Rhizobium played a significant role in improving the growth response and nutrient uptake of Vigna unguiculata (L) Verdc. seedlings. Therefore, their use as biofertilizers for agriculture improvement has been beneficial to numerous researchers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are indebted to University Grants Commission, New Delhi, Maulana Azad National Fellowship for providing financial assistance.

Table 1. Effect	of AM fundi	and other micr	oorganisms in V	iona unquiculata
	ULTINI IULISI	and other mitt	oorganisins in vi	gna angaicalata

	0	0	0 0						
Treatments	Plant height (cms)	DWS(g)	DWR (g)	Spore number	No. of nodules	% root colonization			
15 days									
Control	25.3±0.03e	0.15±0.00e	0.23±0.00e	0.00±0.00e	22.3±0.33e	0.00±0.00e			
AM Fungi	28.3±0.03d	0.19±0.00d	0.15±0.00d	48.6±0.33d	25.3±0.33d	48.3±0.33d			
AM fungi+ Rhizobium	36.5±0.03b	025±0.00b	0.21±0.00b	58.3±0.33b	33.3±0.33b	55.6±0.33b			
AM fungi+ PSB	31.2±0.03C	0.21±0.00C	0.18±0.00c	52.2±0.33c	29.0±0.57c	50.3±0.33c			
AM fungi+ Rhizobium+ PSB	39.3±0.03a	0.34±0.00a	0.26±0.00a	61.0±0.33a	38.3±0.33a	64.9±0.33a			
30 days									
Control	29.4±0.03e	0.20±0.00e	0.04±0.00e	0.00±0.00e	26.3±0.33e	0.00±0.00e			
AM Fungi	33.6±0.03d	0.28±0.00d	0.28±0.00d	57.6±0.33d	32.0±0.57d	58.6±0.33d			
AM fungi+ Rhizobium	41.4±0.03b	0.36±0.00b	0.35±0.00b	68.9±0.33b	40.0±0.57b	65.4±0.33b			
AM fungi+ PSB	38.8±0.03c	0.31±0.00C	0.30±0.00c	64.5±0.33c	36.3±0.33c	60.3±0.33c			
AM fungi+ Rhizobium+ PSB	46.7±0.03a	0.42±0.00a	0.41±0.00a	75.2±0.33a	45.6±0.33a	75.8±0.33a			
45 days									
Control	38.2±0.03e	0.34±0.00e	0.08±0.00a	0.00±0.00e	36.3±0.33e	0.00±0.00e			
AM Fungi	45.6±0.03d	0.40±0.00d	0.33±0.00d	64.3±0.33d	42.5±0.33d	65.6±0.33d			
AM fungi+ Rhizobium	56.4±0.03b	0.48±0.00b	0.41±0.00b	76.3±0.33b	46.6±0.33b	71.0±0.57b			
AM fungi+ PSB	50.1±0.03c	0.46±0.00c	0.42±0.00C	71.3±0.33c	44.3±0.33c	68.6±0.33c			
AM fungi+ Rhizobium+ PSB	66.7±0.03a	0.55±0.00a	0.45±0.00a	85.3±0.33a	50.6±0.33a	81.6±0.33a			

Means sharing letter in common are not significantly different according to Duncan's test P <0.05

REFERENCES

- Antunes PM and Goss MJ, Communication in the tripartite symbiosis formed by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, rhizobia and legume plants: a review, In: Zobel R and Wright S, editors. Roots and soil management: interactions between roots and the soil. Agron Monogr 48, ASA, CSSA and SSSA, Madison, WI, 2005, pp. 199–222
- 2. Aruna SC and Lakshman HC, Interaction between AM fungi and Azotobacter on growth of Coleus amboinicus Lour, The Bioscan, 2007, 2(3):199-201.
- 3. Askar AA, Rashad YM, Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a biocontrol agent against common bean Fusarium root rot disease, J. Plant Pathol, 2010, 9: 31–38.
- 4. Aysan E, Demir S, Using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and Rhizobium leguminosarum, Biovar phaseoli against

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de bary in the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). J. Plant Pathol, 2009, 8:74–78.

- Barea JM, Azco'n R, Azco'n-Aguilar C, Mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. In: Varma A, Abbott LK, Werner D, Hampp R, editors. Plant surface microbiology, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 2009, pp. 351–371.
- Barea JM, Azco'n R, Azco'n-Aguilar C, Interactions between mycorrhizal fungi and bacteria to improve plant nutrient cycling and soil structure. In: Buscot F, Varma A, editors. Microorganisms in soils: roles in genesis and functions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelbert, 2005, pp. 195–212.
- Burleigh SH, Cavagnaro T, Jakobsen I, Functional diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizas extends to the expression of plant genes involved in P nutrition. J Exp Bot, 2002, 53:1593–1601
- 8. Corbera J and Hernandez A, Assessment of the effects of the rhizobia-vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza association on growth and development of soybeans (*Glycine max L*. Merrill), Cultivos-Tropicales, 1997, 18:10–12
- 9. Gardeman JW and Nicolson TH, Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Trans. Brit. Mycol Soc, 1963, 46: 235-244.
- Illmer P, Barbato A, Schinner F Solubilization of hardly soluble AIPO4 with P- solubilizing microorganisms, Soil Biol. Biochem, 1995, 27:265-270.
- Jeffries P and Barea JM Arbuscular Mycorrhiza a key component of sustainable plant-soil ecosystems. In: Hock B, editors, The mycota, vol 9, fungal associations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001, pp. 95–113.

- 12. Lakshman HC, Synergistic interaction among Azotobacter, Pseudomonas and AM fungi on two varieties of Sesamum indicum L, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2011, 42: 2122-2133
- N. Parmar and J. Dufresne, Beneficial Interactions of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizosphere Microorganisms A. Singh et al. (eds.), Bioaugmentation, Biostimulation and Biocontrol, Soil Biology Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp 27-42
- 14. Philips JM and Hayman DS, Improved procedure for clearing roots & vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection; Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc, 1970, 55: 158-161.
- Saxena AK, Rathi SK, Tilak KVBR, Differential effect of various endomycorrhizal fungi on nodulating ability of green gram by *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (Vigna) strain S 24. Biol Fertil Soils, 1997, 24:175–178
- 16. Scheublin TR and Vander Heijden MGA Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonize non-fixing root nodules of several legume species. New Phytol, 2006, 172: 732–738.
- 17. Shwetha CM, Lakshman HC, Mirdhe RM, Kurandawad JM, Channabasava A, Kavatagi PK, Mycorrhizosphere: Interaction between AM fungi with other beneficial microorganisms, In: Arbuscular mycorrhizae in crop production, (ed) Sampat Nehra, Pointer publishers, Jaipur, 2013, 107-133Pp.
- 18. Smith SE and Read DJ, Mycorrhizal symbioses. 3rd ed, Academic Press, London, UK, 2006.
- 19. Zhao B, Trouvelot A, Gianianzzi S, Gianinazzi-Pearson V Influence of two legume species on hyphal production and activity of two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Mycorrhiza, 1997, 7:179–185.

Source of support: University Grants Commission, New Delhi, Conflict of interest: None Declared