



IMPLEMENTING SURGICAL ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS GUIDELINES; ROLE OF CLINICAL PHARMACIST

Tarun Gautam^{1*}, Ashwani Kumar², Sourabh Kosey¹ and H.P.S. Sandhu²

¹Department of Pharmacy Practice, Indo-Soviet Friendship College of Pharmacy, Moga, Punjab, India.

²Department of General Surgery, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College and Hospital, Faridkot, Punjab, India.

Received for publication: August 19, 2015; Accepted: September 21, 2015

Abstract: Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis (SAP) guidelines compliance evaluation of local SAP administration with surveillance of Surgical Site Infections (SSIs) incidence in patient undergoing surgery, many of the important conclusions can be carried out which leads to a substantial improvement in SAP administration practice. International recommendations include Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery, Edinburgh: SIGN; 2008 [updated April, 2014]; and ASHP therapeutic guidelines on antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery, 1999 [updated September, 2013] provides evidence based regulations which can be used to establish local therapeutic guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis administration in patients undergoing surgery. Besides establishment of evidence based SAP guidelines, implementation of these guidelines is of vital importance. Clinical pharmacist holds keystone kind of role in overall acceptance and implementation of these locally established guidelines, ultimately improving health related outcomes in patients as a result of reduction in post-operative SSIs development. As an important ally to practitioner clinical pharmacist plays a very important role in building a bridge between practitioner's decisions and health outcomes of patients. This role fulfilled by clinical pharmacist with the help of clinical pharmacy services like Drug utilization review, Drug utilization evaluations, Prescription event monitoring, clinical research studies, Drug information services, Therapeutic drug monitoring and clinical pharmacokinetics services. At the end, complete compliance with evidence based SAP guidelines leads to decrease in overall incidence of SSIs development and improvement in health related outcomes of patients undergoing surgery.

Key Words: Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis; Implementation; SAP Guidelines; Clinical Pharmacist; PharmD Skills

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infections (SSI's) acquire 10% to 20% of all Hospital Acquired Infections (HAI's), but beside concurrent studies and use of preventive strategies like preoperative preparation of patient, antibiotic prophylaxis and proper wound care, there is no decrease in emergence of SSI's¹. A 12 month European study in 2015 shows incidence of 3.2 SSI cases per 100 surgeries with overall compliance with published national Perioperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis (PAP) guidelines mere 12.9% and no other significant risk factor was identified in the study, thus indicates overall noncompliance with national PAP guidelines². In 2012 another comparative study of cardiac procedure in neonates shown 6.21 cases of SSI's per 100 surgeries in no intervention group to 5.80 SSI's cases per 100 surgeries in group where strict protocols regarding SAP were intervened, depicts increased compliance after protocols implemented³. Study commenced from 2009-2011, had shown a massive 33% decrease in mean SSI rate from 27.3% before implementing special surgical protocols including enhancement of compliance with antibiotic prophylaxis to 18.2% in 12 months period⁴. A study from 2011, SSI rate with overall compliance with SAP guidelines were evaluated in patients of cardiac devices implantation and after a year of follow up SSI rate was found to be 2.3% and overall compliance with SAP guidelines was 45%; thus depicting the relation between the two⁵. In 2009 an American study shown significant reduction in infection after implementation of preoperative protocols for SAP in cesarean delivery in 533 women during 4 months, infection incidence came down from 5.4% to 2.5% and also an increase in overall compliance with SAP protocols⁶. On specific surgical basis a study of urological cancer surgery was done from 2007-2012 to evaluate incidence of *C. difficile* infection postoperatively, higher odds of incidence for *C. difficile*

postoperative infection was found and it was related to a massive 20%-35% extended antibiotic prophylaxis postoperatively in these cases⁷. From 2011 to 2014 many different studies had shown reduced compliance with proper antibiotic prophylaxis protocols, this compliance ranges from 2% to 56.5% thus depicting that efforts have to be taken to increase this compliance for better postoperative outcomes⁸⁻¹¹. In a study from 2008 -2013, compliance with local SAP guidelines were evaluated in a pediatric hospital; results from this study had shown increase in compliance with protocols after proper and strict regulation regarding SAP were intervened i.e. from 43.9% to 51.6% – 67%¹². Thus these evidences depict a need for evaluation of compliance with SAP guidelines for better postoperative outcomes and thus a need of this review emerges.

Inappropriate antibiotic prescription is rather frequent in surgical departments which lead to unnecessary increased cost of therapy and emergence of resistant bacteria strain¹³. Pharmacists on every level are very important for implementing rationality in medication use; qualified pharmacists with specialist technical skills facilitate implementation of evidence based antimicrobial prescribing¹⁴. In developed countries and in countries where pharmacists and physician collaborative efforts are being given responsibilities to control the flow of medication to patients, there antibiotics are handled with great care and responsibility as they should with very less influences by prescriber's behavior towards pharmacist integrated clinical practice¹⁵. Especially reduction of antibiotic resistance, that is very big of a problem now a day for whole mankind¹⁶. Antibiotic resistance is a result of many wrong chains of events that include irrational prescriptions, inappropriate monitoring and irrational dispensing¹⁷⁻¹⁸. Not only this,

*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Tarun Gautam,

Department of Pharmacy Practice,
Indo-Soviet Friendship College of Pharmacy,
Moga, Punjab, India.

Inappropriate use of antibiotics for veterinary purposes is also making this problem even more difficult to be eradicated¹⁹. Relentless attitude towards the use of antimicrobials can lead to antimicrobial resistance and can become a very big problem in treatment of any condition in future²⁰. Healthcare providers solely cannot get rid of this monster of a problem, there is a need of greater effort by all include patients, prescriber and dispensers²¹. Policies have to be formulated for strict regulations over antimicrobial use, and implementation of these policies with a cohesive effort of all²². Policies should be formulated keeping in mind many factors including practicality of implementation, local available facilities, expertise of implementers and at cost which most of population can afford²³⁻²⁴. Policies for prescriber should emphasize on rational prescription, proper sensitivity probing, rational dose, duration therapy and indication²⁵. For dispenser policies including proper prescription filling, regulation on inventory, ethical practice and regular inspections of premises should be formulated²⁶. For patient awareness programmes and education on medication use should be provided more often thus patient can understand potential hazards and benefits of antibiotic prophylaxis on his/her health²⁷.

Antimicrobials are now a day used for many indications like infective disease management, veterinary diseases and prophylaxis²⁸. Antimicrobials for prophylaxis are used with much less evidences during practice, moreover antibiotic prophylaxis has to be administered in otherwise healthy persons thus have a great impact on development antibiotic resistance²⁹. Short and brief antibiotic used during prophylaxis without any evidence can lead to development of resistant strains of microorganism³⁰. On a complete note, Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis (SAP) used without any guideline can lead to both increased risk of surgical site infections (SSIs), post operative development of antibiotic resistance and increased hospital stay as well as cost of therapy³¹. SAP guidelines should be formulated for every setting whatever patient potential it might have. Formulation of SAP guidelines for any setting should be done keeping in mind some potential factors like available facilities, expertise available and practicality of implementation there³². SAP guidelines for a general hospital setting with every type of surgical procedure potential should be formulated with strong evidences, recommendations and local setting factors leads to improvement in practice³³.

International recommendations like antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines for surgical procedure drafted by American Society of Health-system Pharmacists (ASHP) with recommendations from Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), Surgical Infection Society (SIS) and Society of Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA), these guidelines are updated version of previously given ASHP guidelines and are greatly accepted by the world of healthcare providers as primary evidence on SAP³⁴. Besides formulated guidelines, implementation of these guidelines is a bigger problem, in developing countries like ours; Physicians are bounded by many factors including patient burden, competitive business strategies and pharmaceutical companies³⁵. These factors are somewhat biggest barrier in the way of implementation of these guidelines³⁶. Even after

these factors, more factors include patient related like BMI and diabetes which can lead to SSIs even after compliance with guidelines³⁷. Clinical pharmacist holds the key for formulation and implementing antibiotic guidelines and eliminating all factors that are not in the influence of prescriber, as clinical pharmacist plays a bridging role between prescriber and patient, clinical pharmacist can implement guidelines from assisting prescription to rational use in patient³⁸.

Also besides implementation, evaluation of SAP and its impact on health of patient is an important asset, and follow up programmes for evaluating SAP can substantially increase SAP efficiency and reduced cost of therapy³⁹. Evaluation of practice compliance with guidelines can be done by prescription review and because main purpose of guidelines is to reduce variability of clinical practice behavior amongst practitioners, this purpose can be fulfilled by sharing guidelines to fellow healthcare personnel⁴⁰. Impact on patient health can be evaluated by SSI incidence surveillance⁴¹.

Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines

Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgical procedure is mainly given to prevent patient from post operative infections⁴². Surgical Site Infections (SSIs) are most common type of post operative infection in surgery patient. Occurrence of these post operative infections is influenced by many factors like type of surgical procedure, preoperative preparation of patient, elective/emergency, and type of wound, hospital premises and wound care given to patient, thus if there are these many influencing factors, then there is much more care healthcare providers must take before selecting SAP for a particular patient for reduction in overall risk of development of SSIs⁴³.

SAP can be illustrated by different protocols carried out simultaneously for a particular patient of surgery; include selection of appropriate antimicrobial agent, selection of right dose for that particular patient, selection of right dosing time for that particular agent, selection of right route of administration, selection of second dose and time of that dose, if needed and post operative duration of that prophylaxis in that particular patient and to carry out these steps in appropriate way, there has to be complete compliance with SAP guidelines⁴⁴. SAP protocols if followed appropriately for an individual patient leads to an optimal plasma concentration of that particular antimicrobial agent, in other words meeting the proper MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) needed for probable invasion and development of susceptible microbe⁴⁵.

Guidelines for any hospital setting should be prepared with keeping some factors in mind like available facilities, expertise available and practicality in implementation, implementation of these local protocols can help to decrease variations in SAP administration amongst different providers in utilization of resource⁴⁶.

These guidelines should be evidence based and also on the principles recommended by internationally accepted norms or standards. Such internationally accepted standards

like Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery, Edinburgh: SIGN; 2008 [updated April, 2014]; and ASHP therapeutic guidelines on antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery, 1999 [updated September, 2013]. Some of the important recommendations from these guidelines are as illustrated below:

Recommendation for surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgeries, SIGN guidelines recommend SAP in open heart procedures and pacemaker insertion and ASHP guidelines recommend cefazolin, Cefuroxime, clindamycin and Vancomycin for the same including ventricular assist devices implantation. In non-cardiac thoracic surgeries including lobectomy, pneumonectomy, thoracotomy, video assisted thoracoscopic surgery and lung resection ASHP guidelines recommend cefazolin as primary agent of choice with alternative agents like Ampicillin-salbactam, clindamycin and Vancomycin; SIGN guidelines also recommend SAP in pulmonary resection. According to SIGN guidelines, in breast cancer surgery, breast reshaping procedures should consider administration of SAP and breast surgery with implants (aesthetic or reconstructive) with proper SAP is recommended; ASHP guidelines recommend Cefazolin as prime choice for SAP with alternatives like clindamycin or Vancomycin with aminoglycoside or Aztreonam or fluoroquinolones in plastic surgeries. In intracranial procedures including craniotomy SIGN guidelines recommend SAP administration and ASHP guidelines says Cefazolin to be the primary choice agent with alternates as clindamycin and Vancomycin in elective craniotomy, CSF shunt and intrathecal pumps implantation. In clean nonmalignant head and neck surgeries including tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy SIGN guidelines don't recommend any SAP administration, ASHP guidelines agrees with it. SIGN guidelines states SAP should be considered in clean malignant head and neck surgeries, but it recommend SAP in clean-contaminated and contaminated head and neck surgeries whereas ASHP recommends cefazolin, Cefuroxime and clindamycin in clean with prosthesis placements; cefazolin with Metronidazole, Cefoxitin, Cefotetan, ampicillin-salbactam in clean-contaminated cancer surgeries and other clean-contaminated procedures excludes tonsillectomy and fundoscopic sinus procedures of head and neck. In ophthalmic procedures including cataract surgery SIGN guidelines highly recommends SAP administration, also recommends SAP in glaucoma or corneal grafts, Lacrimal surgery; ASHP guidelines recommends topical neomycin-polymyxin B-gramicidin or fourth generation topical fluoroquinolones given as single drop every 5 to 15 minutes for 5 doses as a prime choice, additionally a post operative cefazolin 100mg subconjunctival injection or Intracameral cefazolin 1 to 2.5 mg or Cefuroxime 1mg can be given. In functional endoscopic sinus procedures both guidelines agrees on not recommending any SAP administration. SIGN guidelines recommends SAP in Gastroduodenale, Oesophageal and Gastric bypass surgeries and ASHP guidelines agrees with it, recommends cefazolin as primary agent with alternates like clindamycin or Vancomycin with aminoglycoside or Aztreonam or fluoroquinolone in both Gastroduodenale procedures involving entry into lumen of GIT or without

entry into lumen of GIT. SAP is recommended in small intestine surgery as stated by SIGN guidelines and ASHP guidelines supports it, recommendations for SAP in Obstructed Small intestine procedures cefazolin plus Metronidazole as prime choice with alternates as Cefoxitin, Cefotetan and Metronidazole plus aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone; in non-obstructed procedures cefazolin as primary choice, clindamycin plus aminoglycoside or Aztreonam or fluoroquinolone as alternates. In Open Hepatobiliary procedures including bile duct surgery, pancreatic surgery, liver surgery and gall bladder surgery SAP is recommended by SIGN guidelines and ASHP guidelines recommends cefazolin, Cefoxitin, Cefotetan, ampicillin-salbactam as prime choice and clindamycin or Vancomycin plus aminoglycoside or Aztreonam or fluoroquinolone as alternatives. As far as laparoscopic Hepatobiliary procedures goes both guidelines agrees on not to administer SAP for elective low risk patients but for high risk patients SAP should be considered says SIGN guidelines and ASHP guidelines recommends same agents as in open procedures with additional option of Metronidazole plus aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone; high risk cases includes intraoperative cholangiogram, bile spillage, conversion to laparotomy, acute cholecystitis or pancreatitis, jaundice, pregnancy, immunosuppression and prosthesis insertion. For lower GIT procedures SIGN guidelines highly recommends proper SAP administration and ASHP guidelines recommends cefazolin plus Metronidazole, Cefoxitin, Cefotetan as primary agents, clindamycin plus aminoglycoside or Aztreonam or fluoroquinolone and Metronidazole plus aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone as alternatives for appendectomy; for colorectal surgeries same primary agents with additional option like ampicillin-salbactam, Ceftriaxone plus Metronidazole and Ertapenam, alternatives are same as in appendectomy. For hernia repair SIGN guidelines do not recommend any SAP but ASHP guidelines do recommend cefazolin as prime choice with alternates like clindamycin and Vancomycin for hernioplasty as well as herniorrhaphy. In Gynecological surgeries including hysterectomy both vaginal as well as abdominal SAP is recommended and in Cesarean section delivery SAP is highly recommended according to SIGN guidelines; According to ASHP guidelines, for hysterectomy Cefazolin as prime choice and clindamycin plus aminoglycoside or Aztreonam or fluoroquinolone as alternative is recommended, for cesarean delivery Cefazolin as primary agent with clindamycin plus aminoglycoside as alternates are recommended. SIGN guidelines recommend SAP in all urogenital procedures specifically highly recommend appropriate SAP in transurethral resection of prostate; whereas ASHP guidelines recommend Cefazolin as prime choice agent clean surgery with or without entry into urinary tract, optional addition of aminoglycoside is also recommended in case of implantation of prosthesis; it says fluoroquinolone, Trimethoprim-sulphomethoxazole and cefazolin are primary agents in case of Transrectal prostate biopsy with alternates as aminoglycoside with or without clindamycin. In clean-contaminated urogenital surgery, it recommends combination of Cefazolin and Metronidazole as primary agent to use with alternatives like fluoroquinolones and aminoglycoside with or without clindamycin. In orthopedic surgeries including insertion of foreign material SIGN

guidelines highly recommends SAP administration, ASHP guidelines support this with recommendation of Cefazolin as primary agent to use with alternative options like clindamycin and Vancomycin. Both guidelines support the fact not to administer any SAP in clean orthopedic surgery without insertion of foreign material. As per vascular procedures goes SIGN guidelines recommends SAP administration in all procedures; ASHP recommends Cefazolin as prime choice with alternates like clindamycin and Vancomycin in heart, lung, heart-lung, pancreas and pancreas-kidney transplant with special consideration of including Fluconazole as prime choice with additional options like aminoglycoside, Aztreonam or fluoroquinolone in Pancreas transplantation in high risk patient. ASHP guidelines also recommend standard dosing of SAP agents in adults as well as pediatric patients with half-life in adults with normal renal function and recommended perioperative Redosing, for example recommended adult dose of Cefazolin is 2g (3g for patients weighing more than 120kg) and pediatric dose of 30mg/kg with adult half-life of 1.2-2.2 hrs and perioperative Redosing time is 4 hrs from initiation of SAP⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸. Reference from evidence based recommendations leads to establishment of better protocols and improved patient outcomes⁴⁹.

Role of clinical pharmacist

Implementation of SAP guidelines is a work of great responsibility, and inclusion of clinical pharmacist in this area holds the key to success of these guidelines by implementing them to patient level⁵⁰. Implementation of SAP guidelines includes understanding both needs of patient and medical practitioner; clinical pharmacist with proper interprofessional corresponding command can maneuver situations evolving during communicating about implementation issues with other healthcare professionals and can play an important role in implementing decisions⁵¹. Pharmacy practice in hospitals leading to an effective strategy to improve medication use, clinical services provided by practicing pharmacists include consultation about adjustment of dosage, antibiotic prescribing recommendations, pharmacokinetic evaluations and drug information and this study of United States in 2013 states growth of role played by pharmacists in rationale medication prescribing⁵². In English hospitals from 2005 to 2013, there is a tremendous improvement in specialist pharmacists and their skills in provision of antimicrobial formularies, empirical prescribing recommendations and surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis⁵³. In a study published in 2012, Pharmacy Interns played a very important role in evaluating SAP administration compliance with SAP guidelines in Germany and results were only 70.7% of cases were compliant with guidelines⁵⁴. Development of antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines for local settings on international recommendations with providing clinical pharmacist a key position in monitoring and intervention of antimicrobial prophylaxis may improve present prescribing practice⁵⁵.

DISCUSSION

Implementation of the SAP guidelines in any hospital setting is of much importance and has to be performed with responsible personnel. Implementation of

these guidelines decreases risk of developing SSIs and antimicrobial resistance burden. For successfully implementing guidelines in a local setting, one must consider factors like practicality for application in local settings, available expertise and antimicrobial resistance burden in patients. These healthcare benefits to a patient undergoing surgery, decreases overall recovery time post operatively and cost of therapy. For these implementations, studies had shown that involvement of clinical pharmacist holds a vital position with all the necessary skills.

REFERENCES

1. K, Ousey. "Evidence update on prevention of surgical site infection." *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 28.2 (2015):158-163. Print
2. A, Agodi, Barchitta M, Adornetto V, Cocuzza A, Latino R, Li Destri G, Di Cataldo A. "Risk of surgical site infection in older patients in a cohort survey: targets for quality improvement in antibiotic prophylaxis." *International Surgery.* 100.3 (2015):473-479. Print
3. MT, Murray, Turcotte R, Bacha E, Saiman L, Krishnamurthy G. "Implementing a standardized perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis protocol for neonates undergoing cardiac surgery." *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery.* 98.3 (2014):927-933. Print
4. EC, Wick, Bennett JL, Demski R, Maragakis L, Gearhart SL, Efron J, Berenholtz SM, Makary MA. "Implementation of a surgical comprehensive unit-based safety program to reduce surgical site infections." *Journal of American College of Surgeons.* 215.2 (2012):193-200. Print
5. M, Metais, Duparc A, Mondoly P, Delay M, Lepage B, Malavaud S. "Cardiac implantable devices: surveillance of surgical site infections and assessment of professional practices." *Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases.* 104.5 (2011):325-31. Print
6. BC, Young, Dodge LE, Golen TH. "Timing of antibiotic administration and infectious morbidity following cesarean delivery: incorporating policy change into workflow." *Archives of gynecology and obstetrics.* 285.5 (2012):1219-1224. Print
7. JK, Calvert, Mossanen M, James AC, Wright JL, Porter MP, Gore JL. "Use and outcomes of extended antibiotic prophylaxis in urological cancer surgery." *The Journal of Urology.* 192.2 (2014):425-429. Print
8. SM, Musmar, Owais A. "Adherence to guidelines of antibiotic prophylactic use in surgery: a prospective cohort study in North West Bank, Palestine." *BMC Surgery.* 14 (2014):69. Print
9. AA, Ahmed, Hassan A, Ahmad Z, Ashok P, Mohammad A, Rasha E, Haleema A, Rifat L. "Adherence of Surgeons to Antimicrobial Prophylaxis Guidelines in a Tertiary General Hospital in a Rapidly Developing Country." *Advances in Pharmacological Sciences.* 2013, Article ID 842593, 6 Pages. doi: 10.1155/2013/842593. Online
10. RB, Hawkins, Senter CE, Zhao JY, Doody K, Kao LS, Lally KP, Tsao K. Hawkins RB1, Levy SM, Senter CE, Zhao JY, Doody K, Kao LS, Lally KP, Tsao K. "Beyond surgical care improvement program compliance: antibiotic prophylaxis

- implementation gaps.” *American Journal of Surgery*. 206.4 (2013):451-456. Print
11. M, Rafati, Ahmadi A, Habibi O. “Adherence to American society of health-system pharmacists surgical antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines in a teaching hospital.” *Journal of Research in Pharmacy Practice*. 3.2 (2014):62-66. Print
 12. JP, So, Tsang DS, Matlow AG, Wright JG. “Increasing Compliance With an Antibiotic Prophylaxis Guideline to Prevent Pediatric Surgical Site Infection: Before and After Study.” *Annals of Surgery*. (2014). Online
 13. M, Çakmakçı. “Antibiotic stewardship programmes and the surgeon's role.” *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 89.4 (2015):264-266. Print
 14. J, Cooke. “Achieving prudence in the prescribing of antimicrobials – using clinical pharmacists in English acute hospitals.” *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 65.2 (2007):82-84. Print
 15. DE, DeMik, Vander Weg MW, Lundt ES, Coffey CS, Ardery G, Carter BL. “Using theory to predict implementation of a physician–pharmacist collaborative intervention within a practice-based research network.” *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*. 9.6 (2013):719-30. Print
 16. E, Sabuncu, David J, Bernède-Bauduin C, Pépin S, Leroy M, Boëlle P-Y, et al. “Significant reduction of antibiotic use in the community after a nationwide campaign in France, 2002–2007.” *PLoS medicine*. 6.6 (2009):e1000084. online
 17. Global Antibiotic Resistance Partnership - India Working G. “Rationalizing antibiotic use to limit antibiotic resistance in India.” *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*. 134.3 (2011):281-294. Print
 18. Rashmi, Sharma, Kapoor Bhuvneshwar. “Antibacterial resistance: Current problems and possible solutions.” *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 59.3 (2005):120-129. Print
 19. D, Murphy. “Antibiotic resistance in veterinary medicine.” *Veterinary Nursing Journal*. 27.11(2012):422-423. Print
 20. L, Grigoryan, Burgerhof JG, Degener JE, Deschepper R, Lundborg CS, Monnet DL, et al. “Attitudes, beliefs and knowledge concerning antibiotic use and self-medication: a comparative European study.” *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. 16.11 (2007):1234-1243. Print
 21. A, Abasaheed, Vlcek J, Abuelkhair M, Kubena A. “Self-medication with antibiotics by the community of Abu Dhabi Emirate, United Arab Emirates.” *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*. 3.07 (2009):491-497. Print
 22. R, Laxminarayan, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AK, Wertheim HF, Sumpradit N, et al. “Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions.” *The Lancet infectious diseases*. 13.12 (2013):1057-1098. Print
 23. DL, Smith, Levin SA, Laxminarayan R. “Strategic interactions in multi-institutional epidemics of antibiotic resistance.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 102.8 (2005):3153-3158. Online
 24. Y, Takesue, Mikamo H, Arakawa S, Suzuki K, Sakamoto H, Okubo T, et al. “Guidelines for implementation of clinical studies on surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis (2007).” *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*. 14.2 (2008):172-177. Print
 25. ND, Friedman, Styles K, Gray AM, Low J, Athan E. “Compliance with surgical antibiotic prophylaxis at an Australian teaching hospital.” *American Journal of Infection Control*. 41.1 (2013):71-74. Print
 26. B. Farham. “Rational antibiotic prescribing.” *Continuing Medical Education*. 30.3 (2012):94-95. Print
 27. MJ, Enzler, Berbari E, Osmon DR. “Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Adults.” *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 86.7 (2011):686-701. Print
 28. U, Rautakorpi, Klaukka T, Honkanen P, Mäkelä M, Nikkarinen T, Palva E, et al. “Antibiotic use by indication: a basis for active antibiotic policy in the community.” *Scandinavian journal of infectious diseases*. 33.12 (2001):920-926. Online
 29. S, Harbarth, Samore MH, Lichtenberg D, Carmeli Y. “Prolonged antibiotic prophylaxis after cardiovascular surgery and its effect on surgical site infections and antimicrobial resistance.” *Circulation*. 101.25 (2000):2916-2921. Print
 30. J, Fehr, Hatz C, Soka I, Kibatata P, Urassa H, Battegay M, et al. “Antimicrobial prophylaxis to prevent surgical site infections in a rural sub-Saharan hospital.” *Clinical microbiology and infection*. 12.12 (2006):1224-1227. Print
 31. KJ, Eagye, Nicolau DP. “Deep and organ/space infections in patients undergoing elective colorectal surgery: incidence and impact on hospital length of stay and costs.” *The American Journal of Surgery*. 198.3 (2009):359-367. Print
 32. RB, Hawkins, Levy SM, Senter CE, Zhao JY, Doody K, Kao LS, et al. “Beyond surgical care improvement program compliance: antibiotic prophylaxis implementation gaps.” *The American Journal of Surgery*. 206.4 (2013):451-456. Print
 33. C, Alerany, Campany D, Monterde J, Semeraro C. “Impact of local guidelines and an integrated dispensing system on antibiotic prophylaxis quality in a surgical centre.” *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 60.2 (2005):111-117. Print
 34. DW, Bratzler, Houck PM, Workgroup SIPGW. “Antimicrobial prophylaxis for surgery: an advisory statement from the National Surgical Infection Prevention Project.” *The American Journal of Surgery*. 189.4 (2005):395-404. Print
 35. IN, Okeke, Lamikanra A, Edelman R. “Socioeconomic and behavioral factors leading to acquired bacterial resistance to antibiotics in developing countries.” *Emerging infectious diseases*. 5.1 (1999):18. Online
 36. R, Laing, Hogerzeil H, Ross-Degnan D. “Ten recommendations to improve use of medicines in developing countries.” *Health policy and planning*. 16.1 (2001):13-20. Print
 37. FM, Lee, Trevino S, Kent-Street E, Sreeramoju P. “Antimicrobial prophylaxis may not be the answer: Surgical site infections among patients receiving care per recommended guidelines.” *American Journal of Infection Control*. 41.9 (2013):799-802. Print
 38. SM, De Almeida, Marra AR, Wey SB, da Silva Victor E, dos Santos OFP, Edmond MB. “Implementation of an antibiotic

- prophylaxis protocol in an intensive care unit." *American Journal of Infection Control*. 40.8 (2012):721-725. Print
39. L, Willems, Simoens S, Laekeman G. "Follow-up of antibiotic prophylaxis: impact on compliance with guidelines and financial outcomes." *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 60.4 (2005):333-339. Print
 40. M, Nobile, Bronzin S, Navone P, Colombo M, Calori G, Auxilia F. "Reinforcing good practice: Implementation of guidelines at hospital." *G. Pini. Injury*. 45 (2014):S2-S8. Online
 41. WB, Dale, Peter MH. "Antimicrobial prophylaxis for surgery: an advisory statement from the National Surgical Infection Prevention Project." *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 38.12 (2004):1706-1715. Print
 42. RL, Nichols. "Preventing surgical site infections: a surgeon's perspective." *Emerging infectious diseases*. 7.2 (2001):220. Online
 43. P, Durando, Bassetti M, Orengo G, Crimi P, Battistini A, Bellina D, et al. "Adherence to international and national recommendations for the prevention of surgical site infections in Italy: results from an observational prospective study in elective surgery." *American Journal of Infection Control*. 40.10 (2012):969-972. Print
 44. C, Schmitt, Lacerda RA, Padoveze MC, Turrini RNT. "Applying validated quality indicators to surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in a Brazilian hospital: learning what should be learned." *American Journal of Infection Control*. 40.10 (2012):960-962. Print
 45. A, Novelli. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery: the role of pharmacokinetics. *Journal of chemotherapy*. 11.6 (1999):565-572. Print
 46. KK, Lee, Berenholtz SM, Hobson DB, Demski RJ, Yang T, Wick EC. "Building a business case for colorectal surgery quality improvement." *Dis Colon Rectum*. 56.11 (2013):1298-1303. Online
 47. DW, Bratzler, Dellinger EP, Olsen KM, Perl TM, Auwaerter PG, Bolon MK, et al. "Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery." *American journal of health-system pharmacy*. 70.3:195-283. Print
 48. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery, Edinburgh: SIGN; 104, 2008. Online
 49. O, Kacelnik, Alberg T, Mjaland O, Eriksen H, Skjeldestad FE. "Guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis of cholecystectomies in Norwegian hospitals." *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. 14.2 (2013):188-191. Print
 50. AL, Pakyz, Moczygemba LR, VanderWielen LM, Edmond MB, Stevens MP, Kuzel AJ. "Facilitators and barriers to implementing antimicrobial stewardship strategies: Results from a qualitative study." *American journal of infection control*. 42.10 (2014):S257-S263. Online
 51. K, Luetsch, Rowett D. "Interprofessional communication training: benefits to practicing pharmacists." *Int J Clin Pharm*. (2015). Online
 52. CA, Pedersen, Schneider PJ, Scheckelhoff DJ. "ASHP national survey of pharmacy practice in hospital settings: Prescribing and transcribing-2013." *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 71.11 (2014):924-942. Print
 53. HJ, Wickens, Farrell S, Ashiru-Oredope DA, Jacklin A, Holmes A. "The increasing role of pharmacists in antimicrobial stewardship in English hospitals." *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 68.11 (2013):2675-2681. Online
 54. C, Hohmann, Eickhoff C, Radziwill R, Schulz M. "Adherence to guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery patients in German hospitals: a multicentre evaluation involving pharmacy interns." *Infection*. 40.2 (2012):131-137. Print
 55. NH, Al-Momany, Al-Bakri AG, Makahleh ZM, Wazaify MM. "Adherence to international antimicrobial prophylaxis guidelines in cardiac surgery: a Jordanian study demonstrates need for quality improvement." *J Manag Care Pharm*. 15.3 (2009):262-271. Print

CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:

Tarun Gautam, Ashwani Kumar, Sourabh Kosey, H.P.S. Sandhu. Implementing Surgical Antibiotic Prophylaxis Guidelines; Role of Clinical Pharmacist. *International Journal of Bioassays* 4.10 (2015): 4405-4410.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None Declared